

Institute of Engineering, Technology & Management

College Address: Village Satnavari, Amravati Road, NAGPUR - 440 023

Mobile No.: 9579850361, 362, 363

E-mail: maitrey.ngp@gmail.com Website: www.nietm.in

Criterion No. 6: Governance, Leadership, and Management

AQAR 2020-21

Institutions Performance Appraisal System for teaching and non-6.3.5 teaching staff



Nagarjuna

Institute of Engineering, Technology & Management (AICTE, DTE Approved & Affiliated to R.T.M. Nagpur University Nagpur)

Village Satnavri, Amravati Road, Nagpur 440023 Email: maitrey.ngp@gmail.com; Website: www.nletm.in; Phone No. 07118 322211, 12

<u>Criterion No. 6:</u> <u>Governance, Leadership, and Management</u>

Metric No. 6.3.5 Contents

| Sr.No | SAMPLE PARTICULAR | Page Number |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Summary | 3 |
| 2. | Performance appraisal | 4-12 |

6.3.5 Institutions Performance Appraisal System for teaching and nonteaching staff

The PBAS is on the basis of confidential reports and parameters mentioned in the prescribed format for performance appraisal like engaging lectures, attendance of the students, student's result, class room planning and control, laboratory works, guidance and counseling, contribution to examination-paper settings, evaluations, learning resource development, participation in seminar/ training, co-curricular activities, portfolios handled.

The head of department submits the self-appraisal form with his remark to Principal. The principal evaluates the information furnished in self-appraisal form thoroughly for corrective measures to be suggested for improvement in performance.

The performance appraisal for non-Teaching is done on the basis of his attendance, understanding, discipline, devotion, punctuality, honesty and integrity, initiatives, efficiency observed.

The head of the department furnishes his/her observation and submits the form to the principal with his remark. The principal goes through the report submitted by Head of department and endows his remarks. The principal initiates corrective measures, where necessary, for improvement in performance.

The same of Engineering to hand the same of the same o

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

Assistant Professor / Associate Professor / Professor

| | | | Tended at the latest personal between | | | 0.00001 | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| Ac | ademic \ | Year: | | | | | |
| | Institute Name: Paste Passport Size | | | | | | |
| Part - A: Employee's Personal Details Colour Photogr | | | | | | | |
| | l. Depart | ment: | | | | | |
| | | f Faculty : | | | | | |
| | | ional and Other Qualifications | | | | | |
| 4 | | ation/Position/Title: | | | | | |
| 5 | | f Joining: | | | | | |
| 6. | | ng Experience:In | | | | | |
| 7. | | Birth: | | | | | |
| 8. | | of Appointment: Regular and A | | | | | |
| 9. | Span for | Performance Appraisal: From | m: | | To: | | |
| | | the Head of the Department:_ | | | | | |
| | | the Principal of the Institute:_ | | | | | |
| | | B: Details of Teaching Lo Teaching Load for Odd S | oad per H | Veek and 1 | []niversit | v / Roar | d Dasults |
| Sr.No | Subject Code | Full Name of the Subject | Theory | Practical | Tutorial | Project | Total Teaching Load in Hours/Week |
| 1 | | | | | | | nours/week |
| 2 | | | | | | | |



Grant Total of Teaching Load in Odd

3

4

5



Technology & management

Teaching Load for Even Semester (Second/Fourth/Sixth/Eight)

| | | Str. manufacture and the street of the stree | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------|--|--------|-----------|----------|---------|--|
| Sr.No | Subject Code | Full Name of the Subject | Theory | Practical | Tutorial | Project | Total Teaching Load in Hours/Week |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | |
| Grant 7 | Total of Tea | ching Load in Odd Semester | | | | | |

Overall Performance in Percentage and Results

| Sr.No. | Criteria | Odd Semester | | Even Semester | | Total | | Mean % | |
|--------|---|-----------------|---|------------------|---|-------|----|-------------|--|
| | | T | A | T | A | Tt | Ta | Ta * 100/Tt | |
| 1 | Theory Hours | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Practical (Lab/Seminar/Project/Tutorial) | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | % of Theory Result | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | % of Laboratory Practical Result | | | | | | | | |

- T: Target of Theory Lectures,
- A: Actual Load Engagement,
- Tt: Total for Target Theory Lectures
- > Ta: Total of Actual Engagement

Provide University/Board Examination Results as Average / Semester and then average for both semesters as applicable

Tuoi series

1] TEACHING LEARNING PROCESS(Maximum Points :100)

| Sr. | Theory (Maximum points: 20) | | |
|------------|---|---|------------------|
| No. | Criteria | Distribution of Points | Point obtaine |
| Al | Number of lectures conducted (%) against planned | 96 and above=4 points 91-95 =3 points 80-90 =2 points | |
| A2 | Average attendance of students (%) | Below 80= Zero point 90 and above= 4 points 76-90 = 3 points 60-75 = 2 points Below 60= Zero point | |
| A3 | Result of class test (%) | 75% Students Score > 50% Marks=4 points 50% Students Score = 50% Marks=2points Otherwise= Zero point | |
| A4 | University / Board Result (%) | 10% Improvement in Result=4 points OR Result Achieved ≥ 80% = 4 points Otherwise= Zero point | |
| A 5 | Syllabus completed (%) 90 and above=4 points 76-90 = 3 points Below 75= Zero point | | |
| . L | aboratory Work (Maximum points: 20) | | |
| 1 | Number of practical conducted (%) against planned | 90 and above=4 points | |
| 2 | Average attendance of students (%) | Below 90 = Zero points 90 and above=4 points 76-89 = 3 points 60-75 = 2 points Below 60= Zero point | |
| 3 | Numbers of Equipment in working condition (%) and actual conduct of Practicals | 90 and above= 4 points 76-89 = 3 points 50-75 = 2 points Below 50 = Zero point | |
| 4 | Continuous Assessment | Yes= 4 points No= Zero point | |
| 5 | University / Board Results, % | 10% Improvement in Result=4 points OR Result Achieved ≥ 80% = 4 points Otherwise= Zero point | |



| | Only Theory (Maxim | IIII points, 400 | |
|----|--|--|--|
| A1 | Number of lectures conducted (%) against planned | 96 and above=8 points 91-95 = 6 points 80-90 = 4 points Below 80= Zero point | |
| A2 | Average attendance (%) | 90 and above= 8 points 76-89 =6 points 60-75 = 4 points Below 60= Zero point | |
| A3 | Result of class test (%) | 75% Students Score > 50% Marks= 8 points 50% Students Score = 50% Marks=2points Otherwise= Zero point | |
| A4 | University/ Board Result (%) | 10% Improvement in Result=8 points OR Result Achieved ≥ 80% = 4 points Otherwise= Zero point | |
| A5 | Syllabus completed (%) | 90 and above=8 points 76-90 = 6 points Below 75= Zero point | |
| | Only Laboratory Work (M | | |
| B1 | Number of practical conducted (%) against planned | 90 and above= 8 points Below 90 = Zero points | |
| B2 | Average attendance of students (%) | 90 and above= 8 points 76-89 = 3 points 60-75 = 4 points Below 60= Zero point | |
| В3 | Numbers of Equipment in working condition (%) and actual conduct of Practicals | 90 and above= 8 points 76-89 = 3 points 50-75 = 2 points Below 50 = Zero point | |
| B4 | University / Board Results, % | 10% Improvement in Result=8 points OR Result Achieved ≥ 80% = 4 points Otherwise= Zero point | |
| В5 | Continuous assessment | Yes= 8 points No= Zero point | |
| | C. OBE Implementation() | | |
| | Mission and Vision statement | Yes=02 points | |
| C1 | wiission and vision statement | No= Zero point | |
| C2 | Lesson plan | Yes=02 points No= Zero point | |
| C3 | Course outcomes (COs), Program outcomes (POs), PSO, PEOs | Yes=02 points No= Zero point | |
| | The state of the s | | |

| | The state of the s | The state of the s |
|--|--|--|
| other University/class test question papers | Yes=02 points No= Zero point | |
| The state of the s | • | |
| Notes (Flandwritten Register) and interature | | |
| CO and PO/PSO manning | The state of the s | |
| CO and PO/PSO mapping | | |
| PO/PSO and PSOs manning | THE OWNER WITH THE PARTY OF THE | |
| PO/PSO and PEOs mapping | | |
| | THE RESERVE TO SELECT AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR | |
| List of journals / books / websites referred | | |
| Class Test Appropriate and result | THE PARTY NAMED OF THE PARTY NAMED IN THE PARTY NAM | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE | | |
| Content beyond syllabus | No= Zero point | |
| Result analysis of the course(s)—University | Yes=02 points | |
| | No= Zero point | |
| | Yes=02 points | |
| etc. | | |
| Course End Survey: Analysis and Action | | |
| Taken Report (ATR) submitted | | |
| | | |
| | * | |
| D. DIPLOMA/UG/ PG PROJEC | TS (Maximum points: 16) | |
| | Yes=4 points | |
| | | |
| Successfully guided at least one Diploma/UG | | |
| group | No= Zero point | |
| Successfully guided a student for the PG | Yes= 8 points | |
| project / Multidisciplinary project at Diploma | | |
| or UG Level | • | |
| E. FEEDBACK PER SEMESTE | CR (Maximum points: 12) | |
| Two feedbacks per semester are taken and Action Taken Report (ATR) submitted | 12 points | |
| 21 ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMEN | TS, CEP AND RESEA | RCH |
| * (Maximum P | oints: 100) | |
| Criteria | Distribution of Points | Points obtained |
| STTP/Workshop organized (minimum one week duration) | 12 points | |
| STTP Attended | 5 points | |
| Paper presented in National /International peer reviewed conference | 8 points (4 and 2 points for one Int. and national conference respectively) | |
| Author of Book/book chapter | 10 points | |
| Author of Book book chapter | | |
| | Class Test Answer sheets and result analysis Research documents pertaining to the subjects Content beyond syllabus Result analysis of the course(s)—University exams. Students records - counseling, attendance, etc. Course End Survey: Analysis and Action Taken Report (ATR) submitted CO/PO/PSO attainment D. DIPLOMA/UG/ PG PROJEC Continuous assessment Successfully guided at least one Diploma/UG group Successfully guided a student for the PG project / Multidisciplinary project at Diploma or UG Level E. FEEDBACK PER SEMESTE Two feedbacks per semester are taken and Action Taken Report (ATR) submitted 2] ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMEN * (Maximum Particular) Criteria STTP/Workshop organized (minimum one week duration) STTP Attended Paper presented in National /International peer reviewed conference | other University/class test question papers with solution Notes (Handwritten Register) and literature Oo and PO/PSO mapping PO/PSO and PEOs mapping PO/PSO and PEOs mapping List of journals / books / websites referred Class Test Answer sheets and result analysis Research documents pertaining to the subjects Content beyond syllabus Result analysis of the course(s)—University exams. Students records - counseling, attendance, etc. Course End Survey: Analysis and Action Taken Report (ATR) submitted Continuous assessment Successfully guided at least one Diploma/UG group Successfully guided at student for the PG project / Multidisciplinary project at Diploma or UG Level Paper presented in National /International peer reviewed conference Pool points No Zero points No Zero point Yes=02 points No Zero point Yes=04 points No Zero point Yes=04 points No Zero point Yes=4 points No Zero point Yes=4 points No Zero point Yes=4 points No Zero point 12 points Yes=8 points Yes=8 points Yes=8 points To Distribution of Points The Joints Yes=10 points Yes=20 points Yes=20 points No Zero point Yes=20 points No Zero point Yes=3 points Yes=4 points No Zero point Yes=4 points No Zero point Yes=4 points No Zero point Yes=3 points Yes=3 points Yes=3 points Yes=3 points Yes=4 points Yes=3 points Yes=4 points Yes=3 |

Principal
Nagarjuna Institute of Engineering
Technology & management

8

| 6 | Patent | 10 points |
|----|--|--|
| 7 | Consultancy and testing (not less than Rs. 50000/-) | 5 points |
| 8 | Collaborative Projects with industry/institute (subjected to quality publication) | With publication – 04 points without publication – 02 points |
| 9 | Research Project (funded by national/state level agency or Industry and minimum Rs. 2 Lakh)) | 8 points |
| 10 | PhD Supervisor | 4 points |
| 11 | Reviewer/member of Editorial board of International/National Journal | 4 points |
| 12 | Citations | 4 points |
| 13 | Professional body activities | Member – 3 points Activities – 3 points |
| 14 | Industrial in-plant training/Visits | 4 points |

3] ACADEMIC LEADERSHIP AND ADMINISTRATION (Maximum Points: 100)

| Sr. | Criteria | Distribution of Points | Points obtained |
|------------|--|------------------------|--------------------|
| No. | Chairman of Central Committee with reference to the CENTRAL WORK ALLOTMENT SHEET OF THE INSTITUTE/Section in charge/ Module/Course/Class coordinator etc | 50 points | |
| 2 | Member of Central Committee/Departmental Portfolio with reference to the DEPARTMENTAL WORK ALLOTMENT SHEET | 15 points | |
| 3 | New experiments introduced and successfully implemented in teaching learning process/ laboratory development | 15 points | |
| 4 | Participation in developmental activities such as design and improvement in system (kaizen) | 20 points | |



Cadre wise Weightage and Performance Measurement

| Sr. No | Criteria | Maximum Batata | | | FINAL | | |
|-----------|---|--|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|
| | | Criteria | Points Obtained | Assistant Professor | Associate Professor | Professor | POINTS |
| 1 | Teaching- Learning Process | 100 | | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| 2 | Academic Achieveme nt, CEP and Research | 100 | | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| 3 | Academic Leadership and Administra tion | 100 | | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | |
| | | Company of the Compan | | , | • | Γotal [X] | |

Tick the appropriate Box in the following table

| Grade | A+ (Excellent) | A (Very Good) | B+ (Good) | B (Average) | C (Poor) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|-------------|----------|
| Total (Final) Points | X ≥ 85 | 75 ≤ X <85 | 65 ≤ X <75 | 55 ≤ X <65 | Below 55 |

| Name: | |
|-----------|---------------------|
| Staff Id: | Signature with date |

HOD's Personal Evaluation about Faculty Member

| Criteria | A+ (Excellent) | A (Very Good) | B+ (Good) | B (Average) | C (Poor) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| Obedience | | | | | |
| Integrity | | | | | |
| Communication Skills | | | | | |
| Teaching Competence | | | | | |
| Relationship with others | | | | | |
| Punctuality | | | | | |
| Initiative | | | | | |
| Enthusiasm/Motivation | of Engineering | | | | |

80

Overall Performance Grade based on PA Form and HOD's assessment (Encircle only one below)

| | A + | A | \mathbf{B} + | В | C | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Fitness for continuation | : Fit/Not | Fit | | | | |
| Signature of Head of Dep | artment | | | | | |
| Dated: | | | | | | |
| Name of HOD | | | | | | |
| Overall Performa | ance Grad (I | le based on Encircle on | PA Form a ly one belov | and Princip v) | oal's assess | ment |
| | A + | A | B + | В | C | |
| Agreed to the HOD's A Signature of Principal Dated: Name of Principal | | | | s for conti | inuation: F | it/Not Fit |
| Overall Performan | A+ | Encircle on A | B+ | d Manage w) B | ment's Eva C | luation |
| Agreed to the HOD's A | | | | | | |
| Agreed to the Principal | | | / No | | | |
| Fitness for continuation | ı: Fit/Not | Fit | | | | |
| Signature of Managemen | nt Represe | ntative | | | | |
| Name of Management R | epresenta | tive: | | | | |
| Designation of Managen | nent Repre | esentative: | | | | |
| Dated: | of Emgineer | | | | | |

Nagarjana Institute of Engineering Technology & management 11

* General guidelines for calculation of points

1) Publications

The Publications indexed in Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar will only be considered for assessment.

2) Citations

The assessment is based on the number of citations in the academic year.

3) IPR and Patents: Granted, Filed and Licensed

IPR will be broadly based on registered copyrights, designs and patents citations in the academic year.

4) Collaborative Publications

It is proposed that Publications (based on the collaborative project) indexed in Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar only will be counted for assessment.

5) Projects and Professional Practice

Faculty will be asked to provide information in a tabular form indicating funding agency, amount, duration, Principal Investigator and impact, if any.

6) Professional body activities

To promote professionalism, develop education standards and encourage research, with the active involvement of its Member Associations and Sections, in order to address changing needs. Points will be allotted to various activities conducted with professional bodies such as ASME, IEEE, IE, IETE and ISTE etc.

7) Industrial Visit / Training

Four points will be allotted to industrial training of minimum three days and two points will be allotted to one day industrial visit.

8) STTP/Workshop

The term STTP refers to the acquisition of knowledge, skills, and competencies vocational or practical skills and knowledge that relate to specific useful competencies will fetch full points. STTP /Workshop organized or attended should not be less than 6 days duration.

9) Continuous Assessment:

For Continuous Assessment Rubrics should be followed for the respective course.

10) Laboratory Equipment(s)

Always make sure that all the equipment(s) used for any laboratory course must be working at all point of time.

11) Question Paper Setting (class test)

The question paper of the course work is to be set according to Bloom's Taxonomy

